

United States Patent and Trademark Office



CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 4984 22311-04013 David H. Gorski 01/05/2001 09/755,320 EXAMINER 7590 10/07/2004 FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER SAOUD, CHRISTINE J 1300 I STREET N.W ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3315 1647

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/755,320	GORSKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christine J. Saoud	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).		
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the final Office action; or fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	:	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed:) :	
Claim(s) objected to:	:	
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		CHRISTINE J. SAOUD
		Objectine & Saoud

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the claim amendments would require a new ground of rejection because a new declaration has not been filed. The claim amendments would be proper and obviate the pending grounds of rejection if submitted with a new declaration..

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Chustine J. Saoud